arXiv:1605.07507v1 [math.DG] 24 May 2016

THE ASYMPTOTICS OF THE ANALYTIC TORSION ON CR MANIFOLDS WITH S^1 ACTION

CHIN-YU HSIAO AND RUNG-TZUNG HUANG

Abstract. Let X be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold of dimension $2n+1, n \geq 1$ with a transversal CR S^1-action on X. We introduce the Fourier components of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion on X with respect to the S^1-action. We establish an asymptotic formula for the Fourier components of the analytic torsion with respect to the S^1-action. This generalizes the asymptotic formula of Bismut and Vasserot on the holomorphic Ray-Singer torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle to strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds with a transversal CR S^1-action.

1. Introduction

In [19], Ray and Singer introduced the holomorphic analytic torsion for $\overline{\partial}$-complex on complex manifolds as the complex analogue of the analytic torsion for flat vector bundles [18]. In [3], Bismut and Vasserot established the asymptotic formula of the holomorphic analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle, by using the heat kernel method of [2] (see also [14, Sect. 5.5]). The asymptotic formula has applications in Arakelov geometry [20]. Another applications of the holomorphic torsion appeared in the study of the moduli space of K3 surfaces by Yoshikawa in [21] and his subsequent works. Recently, M. Puchol [17] extended the results of Bismut and Vasserot on the asymptotic of the holomorphic torsion to the fibration case.

In orbifold geometry, we have Kawasaki's Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula [12] and also general index theorem [16]. To study further geometric problem for orbifolds (for example, local family index theorem), it is important to know the corresponding heat kernel asymptotics and to have the concept of analytic torsion. The difficulty comes from the fact that in general the heat kernel expansion involves strata contribution (see [6]) and it is difficult to study local index theorem and analytic torsion on general orbifolds. Thus, it is natural to first attack such problems on some class of orbifolds. In complex orbifold geometry, we usually consider an orbifold ample line bundle L over a a projective orbifold M. An important case is when $\mathrm{Tot}\,(L^*)$ the space of all non-zero vectors in the dual bundle of L is smooth, where $\mathrm{Tot}\,(L^*)$ is considered as a complex manifold. In this case, the circle bundle $C(L^*)$ is a smooth manifold.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58J52, 58J28; Secondary: 57Q10.

Key words and phrases. determinant, Ray-Singer torsion, CR manifolds.

The first author was partially supported by Taiwan Ministry of Science of Technology project 104-2628-M-001-003-MY2 and the Golden-Jade fellowship of Kenda Foundation. The second author was supported by Taiwan Ministry of Science of Technology project 103-2115-M-008-008-MY2.

Actually, $C(L^*)$ is a Sasakian manifold and all quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds are obtained this way (see [15]). Moreover, $C(L^*)$ is a smooth CR manifold with a transversal CR S^1 action. The point is that since $C(L^*)$ is a smooth manifold, if we work directly on $C(L^*)$, we should get better results than general orbifolds case (see [9], [10], [11] and [5]). For example, in [5], we can reinterpret Kawasaki's Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula as an index theorem obtained by an integral over a smooth CR manifold which is essentially the circle bundle of this line bundle. Thus, we could first try to define and study analytic torsion on such CR manifolds. This is the motivation of this paper.

To motivate our approach, let's come back to complex geometry case. Consider a compact smooth complex manifold M of dimension n and let $(L, h^L) \to M$ be a holomorpic line bundle over M, where h^L denotes a Hermitian fiber metric of L. Let $(L^*, h^{L^*}) \to M$ be the dual bundle of (L, h^L) and put $X = \left\{v \in L^*;\, |v|^2_{h^{L^*}} = 1\right\}$. We call X the circle bundle of (L^*, h^{L^*}). It is clear that X is a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$. Given a local holomorphic frame s of L on an open subset $U \subset M$ we define the associated local weight of h^L by $|s(z)|^2_{h^L} = e^{-2\phi(z)}$, $\phi \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$. The CR manifold X is equipped with a natural S^1 action. Locally X can be represented in local holomorphic coordinates $(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, where λ is the fiber coordinate, as the set of all (z, λ) such that $|\lambda|^2 e^{2\phi(z)} = 1$, where ϕ is a local weight of h^L. The S^1 action on X is given by $e^{i\theta} \circ (z, \lambda) = (z, e^{i\theta}\lambda)$, $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$, $(z, \lambda) \in X$. Let $T \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ be the real vector field induced by the S^1 action, that is, $Tu = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(u(e^{i\theta} \circ x))|_{\theta=0}$, $u \in C^\infty(X)$. We can check that $[T, C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)] \subset C^\infty(X, T^{1,0}X)$ and $\mathbb{C}T(x) \oplus T^{1,0}_x X \oplus T^{0,1}_x X = \mathbb{C}T_x X$ (we say that the S^1 action is CR and transversal). For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, put

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X) :&= \left\{u \in \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X);\, Tu = imu\right\}\\ &= \left\{u \in \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X);\, u(e^{i\theta} \circ x) = e^{im\theta}u(x), \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi[\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{\partial}_b T = T\overline{\partial}_b$, we have $\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X)$, where $\overline{\partial}_b$ denotes the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. Let $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(M, L^m)$ be the space of smooth sections of $(0, \bullet)$ forms of M with values in L^m, where L^m is the m-th power of L. It is well-known that (see Theorem 1.2 in [5]) there is a bijection map

$$A_m : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(M, L^m)$$

such that $A_m\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}A_m$ on $\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X)$. Let $\Box_m$ be the Kodaira Laplacian with values in $T^{*0,\bullet}M \otimes L^m$ and let $e^{-t\Box_m}$ be the associated heat operator. It is well-known that $e^{-t\Box_m}$ admits an asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0^+$. Consider $B_m(t) := (A_m)^{-1} \circ e^{-t\Box_m} \circ A_m$. Let

$$\Box_{b,m} : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X)$$

be the Kohn Laplacian for forms with values in the m-th S^1 Fourier component and let $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}$ be the associated heat operator. We can check that

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,m}} = B_m(t) \circ Q_m = Q_m \circ B_m(t) \circ Q_m, \tag{1.1}$$

where $Q_m : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X)$ is the orthogonal projection. From the asymptotic expansion of $e^{-t\Box_m}$ and (1.1), it is straightforward to see that

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x,x) \sim t^{-n}a_n(x) + t^{-n+1}a_{n-1}(x) + \cdots . \tag{1.2}$$

From (1.2), we can define $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta'_{b,m}(0))$ the m-th Fourier component of the analytic torsion on the CR manifold X, where $\theta_{b,m}(z) = -M\left[\mathrm{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi^{\perp}_m]\right]$. Here N is the number operator on $T^{*0,\bullet}X$, STr denotes the super trace , $\Pi^{\perp}_m$ is the orthogonal projection onto $(\mathrm{Ker}\,\Box_{b,m})^{\perp}$ and M denotes the Mellin transformation. Let $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta'_m(0))$ be the analytic torsion associated to L^m. It is easy to see that

$$\theta'_{b,m}(0) = \theta'_m(0). \tag{1.3}$$

Assume that $R^L > 0$, where R^L is the curvature induced by h^L. Bismut and Vasserot's asymptotic formula (see [3, Theorem 8] and [14, Theorem 5.5.8]) tells us that as $m \to +\infty$, we have

$$\theta'_m(0) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{R}^L}{2\pi}\right)\right) e^{m\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}R^L} + o(m^n), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\dot{R}^L \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}M)$ is the Hermitian matrix given by

$$R^L(V,\overline{W}) = \langle\, \dot{R}^L V \,|\, \overline{W}\,\rangle, \quad V, W \in T^{1,0}M.$$

Here $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ is the fixed Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TM$. Let's reformulate (1.4) in terms of geometric objects on X:

$$\theta'_{b,m}(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_X \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\right) e^{-m\frac{d\omega_0}{2\pi}} \wedge (-\omega_0) + o(m^n), \tag{1.5}$$

where ω_0 is the unique one form given by (1.9), and $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}X)$ is the Hermitian matrix given by

$$id\omega_0(V,\overline{W}) = \langle\, \dot{\mathcal{R}} V \,|\, \overline{W}\,\rangle, \quad V, W \in T^{1,0}X.$$

The purpose of this paper is to define the Ray-Singer torsion and establish (1.5) on any abstract strongly pseudoconvex CR manifols with a transversal CR locally free S^1-action. Note that for the case of circle bundle, the S^1 action is globally free and X is strongly pseudoconvex if $R^L > 0$.

1.1. **The difficulty.** The proof of Bismut and Vasserot's asymptotic formula is based on the following results:

$$e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_m}(z,z) = (2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{R}^L)\exp(t\omega_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(z)m^n + o(m^n), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\omega_d = -\sum_{l,j} R^L(w_j,\overline{w}_l)\overline{w}^l \wedge \iota_{\overline{w}_j}$ and $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is a local orthonormal frame of $T^{1,0}M$ with dual frame $\{w^j\}_{j=1}^n$, and

$$m^{-n}\int_M \mathrm{STr}\, Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_m}(z,z)dv_M(z) \sim \sum_{j=-n}^{\infty} B_{m,j}t^j \ \text{ as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m, \tag{1.7}$$

where we can compute the term $\lim_{m\rightarrow\infty} B_{m,j}$, for each j. For the case where the S^1 action is only locally free, our asymptotic expansion involves an unprecedented contribution in terms of a distance function from lower dimensional strata of the S^1 action (see Theorem 3.1). Roughly speaking, on the regular part of X, we have

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x,x) = (2\pi)^{-n-1}\frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}})\exp(t\gamma_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x) + o(m^n) \mod O\Big(m^n e^{-md(x,X_{\rm sing})^2}\Big). \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, as (1.7), integrating $\mathrm{STr}\, N e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x,x)$ over X, we obtain an asymptotic expansion non-trivial the fractional power in $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (see Theorem 5.1). It turns out that this is a non-trivial generalization.

1.2. **Main result.** We now formulate the main results. We refer to Section 2 for some notations and terminology used here.

Let $(X, T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact connected strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ (see Definition 2.1), where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X. Let $T\in C^\infty(X,TX)$ be the real vector field induced by the S^1 action and let $\omega_0\in C^\infty(X,T^*X)$ be the global real one form determined by

$$\langle\, \omega_0\,,\, T\,\rangle = -1,\quad \langle\, \omega_0\,,\, u\,\rangle = 0,\quad \forall u\in T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X. \quad (1.9)$$

For $x\in X$, we say that the period of x is $\frac{2\pi}{\ell}$, $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, if $e^{i\theta}\circ x\neq x$, for every $0<\theta<\frac{2\pi}{\ell}$ and $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{\ell}}\circ x = x$. For each $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, put

$$X_\ell = \big\{x\in X;\ \text{the period of } x \text{ is } \tfrac{2\pi}{\ell}\big\} \quad (1.10)$$

and let $p=\min\{\ell\in\mathbb{N};\, X_\ell\neq\emptyset\}$. It is well-known that if X is connected, then X_p is an open and dense subset of X (see Duistermaat-Heckman [7]). In this work, we assume that $p=1$ and we denote $X_{\rm reg} := X_p = X_1$. We call $x\in X_{\rm reg}$ a regular point of the S^1 action. Let $X_{\rm sing}$ be the complement of $X_{\rm reg}$.

Let E be a rigid CR vector bundle over X (see Definition 2.4) and we take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_E$ on E (see Definition 2.5). Take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ such that $T^{1,0}X\perp T^{0,1}X$, $T\perp(T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle\, T\,|\,T\,\rangle = 1$ and let $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_E$ be the Hermitian metric on $T^{*0,\bullet}X\otimes E$ induced by the fixed Hermitian metrics on E and $\mathbb{C}TX$. We denote by $dv_X = dv_X(x)$ the volume form on X induced by the Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get natural global L^2 inner product $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_E$ on $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$. We denote by $L^2(X,T^{*0,\bullet}X\otimes E)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_E$. For every $u\in\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$, we can define $Tu\in\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$ and we have $T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$. For $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, put

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) :&= \big\{u\in\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E);\, Tu = imu\big\}\\ &= \Big\{u\in\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E);\, (e^{i\theta})^*u = e^{im\theta}u,\ \ \forall\theta\in[0,2\pi[\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where $(e^{i\theta})^*$ denotes the pull-back map by $e^{i\theta}$ (see (2.2)). For each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $L^2_m(X,T^{*0,\bullet}X\otimes E)$ the completion of $\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_E$.

Since $T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E).$$

We also write

$$\overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$$

to denote the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_E$. Since $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_E$ and $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle$ are rigid, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &T\overline{\partial}^*_b = \overline{\partial}^*_b T \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E),\\ &\overline{\partial}^*_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Let $\Box_{b,m}$ denote the m-th Kohn Laplacian given by

$$\Box_{b,m} := (\overline{\partial}_b + \overline{\partial}^*_b)^2 : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E). \tag{1.12}$$

We extend $\Box_{b,m}$ to $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ by

$$\Box_{b,m} : \operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,m} \subset L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)\,, \tag{1.13}$$

where $\operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,m} := \{u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E);\, \Box_{b,m}u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)\}$, where for any $u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$, $\Box_{b,m}u$ is defined in the sense of distribution. It is well-known that $\Box_{b,m}$ is self-adjoint, $\operatorname{Spec}\Box_{b,m}$ is a discrete subset of $[0,\infty[$ and for every $\nu \in \operatorname{Spec}\Box_{b,m}$, ν is an eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}$ (see Section 3 in [5]). Let $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}$ and $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X, (T^{*0,\bullet}_y X \otimes E_y) \boxtimes (T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x))$ be associated heat operator and heat kernel (see (3.4)). Let N be the number operator on $T^{*0,\bullet}X$, i.e. N acts on $T^{*0,q}X$ by multiplication by q. By [5, Theorem 1.7], we have the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\operatorname{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}] := \int \operatorname{STr}(Ne^{-t\Box_m})(x,x)dv_X(x) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}} \ \text{ as } t \to 0^+, \tag{1.14}$$

where $\hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}$ independent of t, for each j, and STr denotes the super trace (see the discussion in the beginning of Section 4.2). We denote by $\Pi^\perp_m : L^2_m(X, T^{0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to (\operatorname{Ker}\Box_{b,m})^\perp$ the orthogonal projection. From (1.14), for $\operatorname{Re}(z) > n$, we can define

$$\theta_{b,m}(z) = -M\left[\operatorname{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi^\perp_m]\right] = -\operatorname{STr}\left[N(\Box_{b,m})^{-z}\Pi^\perp_m\right] \tag{1.15}$$

and $\theta_{b,m}(z)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles contained in the set

$$\left\{\ell - \frac{j}{2};\, \ell, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\},$$

its possible poles are simple, and $\theta_{b,m}(z)$ is holomorphic at 0 (see Lemma 4.4), where M denotes the Mellin transformation (see Definition 4.1). The m-th Fourier component of the analytic torsion for the vector bundle E over X is given by $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta'_{b,m}(0))$ (see Definition 4.5).

We denote by $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)$ the Hermitian matrix $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) \in \operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}_x X)$ such that for $V, W \in T^{1,0}_x X$,

$$id\omega_0(x)(V, \overline{W}) = \langle\, \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)V \,|\, \overline{W}\,\rangle. \tag{1.16}$$

Let $\det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \lambda_1(x) \cdots \lambda_n(x)$, where $\lambda_1(x), \ldots, \lambda_n(x)$ are eigenvalues of $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)$.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1.1. *With the notations and assumptions above, as $m \to +\infty$, we have*

$$\theta'_{b,m}(0) = \frac{\mathrm{rk}(E)}{4\pi} \int_X \log \left(\det \left(\frac{m\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi} \right) \right) e^{-m\frac{d\omega_0}{2\pi}} \wedge (-\omega_0) + o(m^n). \tag{1.17}$$

Note that the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 5.1 which are the main technical results of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some notations, definitions and terminology we use throughout. In Section 3, we study the asymptotic behavior of the heat kernel $e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x,x)$ when $m \to +\infty$ as well as when $t \to 0^+$. In Section 4, we introduce the m-th Fourier component of the analytic torsion for the CR manifolds with a transversal CR S^1-action. In Section 5, we establish the asymptotic formula for the m-th Fourier component of the analytic torsion.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Some standard notations.** We use the following notations: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R};\, x > 0\}$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R};\, x \geq 0\}$. For a multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we write

$$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \partial_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
&z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots z_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \overline{z}^\alpha = \overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots \overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n}, \\
&\partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}}\Big), \quad \partial_{\overline{z}_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}}\Big), \\
&\partial_z^\alpha = \partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha}, \quad \partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha = \partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \overline{z}^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let X be a C^∞ orientable paracompact manifold. We let TX and T^*X denote the tangent bundle of X and the cotangent bundle of X respectively. The complexified tangent bundle of X and the complexified cotangent bundle of X will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}TX$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*X$ respectively. We write $\langle\, \cdot\,, \cdot\,\rangle$ to denote the pointwise duality between T^*X and TX. We extend $\langle\, \cdot\,, \cdot\,\rangle$ bilinearly to $\mathbb{C}T^*X \times \mathbb{C}TX$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}T^*X$, $v \in \mathbb{C}TX$, we also write $u(v) := \langle\, u\,, v\,\rangle$.

Let E be a C^∞ vector bundle over X. The fiber of E at $x \in X$ will be denoted by E_x. Let F be another vector bundle over X. We write $E \boxtimes F$ to denote the vector bundle over $X \times X$ with fiber over $(x, y) \in X \times X$ consisting of the linear maps from E_x to F_y.

Let $Y \subset X$ be an open set. The spaces of smooth sections of E over Y and distribution sections of E over Y will be denoted by $C^\infty(Y, E)$ and $D'(Y, E)$ respectively. Let $E'(Y, E)$

be the subspace of $D'(Y,E)$ whose elements have compact support in Y. For $m\in\mathbb{R}$, we let $H^m(Y,E)$ denote the Sobolev space of order m of sections of E over Y. Put

$$H^m_{\mathrm{loc}}(Y,E)=\left\{u\in D'(Y,E);\,\varphi u\in H^m(Y,E),\,\forall\varphi\in C^\infty_0(Y)\right\},$$
$$H^m_{\mathrm{comp}}(Y,E)=H^m_{\mathrm{loc}}(Y,E)\cap E'(Y,E).$$

2.2. **Set up and terminology.** Let $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ be a compact CR manifold of dimension $2n+1$, $n\geq 1$, where $T^{1,0}X$ is a CR structure of X. That is $T^{1,0}X$ is a subbundle of rank n of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}TX$, satisfying $T^{1,0}X\cap T^{0,1}X=\{0\}$, where $T^{0,1}X=\overline{T^{1,0}X}$, and $[\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}]\subset\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}=C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)$. We assume that X admits a S^1 action: $S^1\times X\to X$. We write $e^{i\theta}$ to denote the S^1 action. Let $T\in C^\infty(X,TX)$ be the global real vector field induced by the S^1 action given by $(Tu)(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(u(e^{i\theta}\circ x)\right)|_{\theta=0}$, $u\in C^\infty(X)$.

Definition 2.1. *We say that the S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ is CR if $[T,C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)]\subset C^\infty(X,T^{1,0}X)$ and the S^1 action is transversal if for each $x\in X$, $\mathbb{C}T(x)\oplus T^{1,0}_xX\oplus T^{0,1}_xX=\mathbb{C}T_xX$. Moreover, we say that the S^1 action is locally free if $T\neq 0$ everywhere.*

We assume throughout that $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ is a compact connected CR manifold with a transversal CR locally free S^1 action $e^{i\theta}$ and we let T be the global vector field induced by the S^1 action. Let $\omega_0\in C^\infty(X,T^*X)$ be the global real one form determined by $\langle\,\omega_0\,,\,u\,\rangle=0$, for every $u\in T^{1,0}X\oplus T^{0,1}X$ and $\langle\,\omega_0\,,\,T\,\rangle=-1$. Assume $X=X_{p_1}\bigcup X_{p_2}\bigcup\cdots\bigcup X_{p_k}$ (see (1.10)), $p=:p_1<p_2<\cdots<p_k$. In this work, we assume that $p_1=1$ and we denote $X_{\mathrm{reg}}:=X_{p_1}=X_1$.

Definition 2.2. *For $p\in X$, the Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ is the Hermitian quadratic form on $T^{1,0}_pX$ given by $\mathcal{L}_p(U,\overline{V})=-\frac{1}{2i}\langle\,d\omega_0(p)\,,\,U\wedge\overline{V}\,\rangle$, $U,V\in T^{1,0}_pX$.*

If the Levi form $\mathcal{L}_p$ is positive definite, we say that X is strongly pseudoconvex at p. If the Levi form is positive definite at every point of X, we say that X is strongly pseudoconvex. We assume throughout that $(X,T^{1,0}X)$ is strongly pseudoconvex.

Denote by $T^{*1,0}X$ and $T^{*0,1}X$ the dual bundles of $T^{1,0}X$ and $T^{0,1}X$ respectively. Define the vector bundle of $(0,q)$ forms by $T^{*0,q}X=\Lambda^q(T^{*0,1}X)$. Put $T^{*0,\bullet}X:=\oplus_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}T^{*0,j}X$. Let $D\subset X$ be an open subset. Let $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*0,q}X$ over D and let $\Omega^{0,q}_0(D)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D)$ whose elements have compact support in D. Put

$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}(D):=\oplus_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}\Omega^{0,j}(D),$$
$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}_0(D):=\oplus_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}\Omega^{0,j}_0(D).$$

Similarly, if E is a vector bundle over D, then we let $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $T^{*0,q}X\otimes E$ over D and let $\Omega^{0,q}_0(D,E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,q}(D,E)$ whose elements have compact support in D. Put

$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}(D,E):=\oplus_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}\Omega^{0,j}(D,E),$$
$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}_0(D,E):=\oplus_{j\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}}\Omega^{0,j}_0(D,E).$$

Fix $\theta_0\in]-\pi,\pi[$, θ_0 small. Let

$$de^{i\theta_0}:\mathbb{C}T_xX\to\mathbb{C}T_{e^{i\theta_0}x}X$$

denote the differential map of $e^{i\theta_0}: X \to X$. By the CR property of the S^1 action, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{1,0}_x X \to T^{1,0}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X, \\ de^{i\theta_0} &: T^{0,1}_x X \to T^{0,1}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X, \\ de^{i\theta_0}(T(x)) &= T(e^{i\theta_0}x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $(e^{i\theta_0})^* : \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X) \to \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X)$ be the pull-back map by $e^{i\theta_0}$, $r = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n+1$. From (2.1), it is easy to see that for every $q = 0, 1, \ldots, n$,

$$(e^{i\theta_0})^* : T^{*0,q}_{e^{i\theta_0}x} X \to T^{*0,q}_x X. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$. Define

$$Tu := \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\big((e^{i\theta})^* u\big)|_{\theta=0} \in \Omega^{0,q}(X). \tag{2.3}$$

(See also (3.16).) For every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $u \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X))$, we write $u(e^{i\theta} \circ x) := (e^{i\theta})^* u(x)$. It is clear that for every $u \in C^\infty(X, \Lambda^r(\mathbb{C}T^*X))$, we have

$$u(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta} \circ x) e^{-im\theta} d\theta. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,q}(X) \to \Omega^{0,q+1}(X)$ be the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator. From the CR property of the S^1 action, it is straightforward to see that (see also (3.17))

$$T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X).$$

Definition 2.3. *Let $D \subset U$ be an open set. We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(D)$ is rigid if $Tu = 0$. We say that a function $u \in C^\infty(X)$ is Cauchy-Riemann (CR for short) if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$. We call u a rigid CR function if $\overline{\partial}_b u = 0$ and $Tu = 0$.*

Definition 2.4. *Let F be a complex vector bundle over X. We say that F is rigid (CR) if X can be covered with open sets U_j with trivializing frames $\left\{f^1_j, f^2_j, \ldots, f^r_j\right\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that the corresponding transition matrices are rigid (CR). The frames $\left\{f^1_j, f^2_j, \ldots, f^r_j\right\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, are called rigid (CR) frames.*

Definition 2.5. *Let F be a complex rigid vector bundle over X and let $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_F$ be a Hermitian metric on F. We say that $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_F$ is a rigid Hermitian metric if for every rigid local frames $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ of F, we have $T\langle\, f_j \,|\, f_k \,\rangle_F = 0$, for every $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.*

It is well-known that there is a rigid Hermitian metric on any rigid vector bundle F (see Theorem 2.10 in [5] and Theorem 10.5 in [9]). Note that Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [1] proved that $T^{1,0}X$ is a rigid complex vector bundle over X.

From now on, let E be a rigid CR vector bundle over X and we take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_E$ on E and take a rigid Hermitian metric $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$ such that $T^{1,0}X \perp T^{0,1}X$, $T \perp (T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X)$, $\langle\, T \,|\, T \,\rangle = 1$. The Hermitian metrics on E and $\mathbb{C}TX$ induce Hermitian metrics $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle$ and $\langle\, \cdot \,|\, \cdot \,\rangle_E$ on $T^{*0,\bullet}X$ and $T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E$ respectively. Let $A(x, y) \in$

$(T^{*,\bullet}_y X \otimes E_y) \boxtimes (T^{*,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x)$. We write $|A(x,y)|$ to denote the natural matrix norm of $A(x,y)$ induced by $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_E$. We denote by $dv_X = dv_X(x)$ the volume form on X induced by the fixed Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}TX$. Then we get natural global L^2 inner products $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$, $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ on $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$ and $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X)$ respectively. We denote by $L^2(X, T^{*0,q}X \otimes E)$ and $L^2(X, T^{*0,q}X)$ the completions of $\Omega^{0,q}(X,E)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$ and $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ respectively. Similarly, we denote by $L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ and $L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X)$ the completions of $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$ and $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$ and $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ respectively. We extend $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$ and $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ to $L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ and $L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X)$ in the standard way respectively. For $f \in L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$, we denote $\|f\|^2_E := (\, f \,|\, f \,)_E$. Similarly, for $f \in L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X)$, we denote $\|f\|^2 := (\, f \,|\, f \,)$.

We also write $\overline{\partial}_b$ to denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator acting on forms with values in E:

$$\overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E).$$

Since E is rigid, we can also define Tu for every $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X,E)$ and we have

$$T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E). \tag{2.5}$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{0,q}_m(X,E) &:= \left\{ u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X,E);\, Tu = imu \right\}, \quad q = 0,1,2,\ldots,n, \\ \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) &:= \left\{ u \in \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E);\, Tu = imu \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,q}X \otimes E)$ and $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,q}X)$ the completions of $\Omega^{0,q}_m(X,E)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}_m(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$ and $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ respectively. Similarly, we denote by $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ and $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X)$ the completions of $\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E)$ and $\Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$ and $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)$ respectively.

3. Asymptotic expansion of heat kernels

3.1. **Heat kernels of the Kohn Laplacians.** Since $T\overline{\partial}_b = \overline{\partial}_b T$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We also write

$$\overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E)$$

to denote the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\cdot \,|\, \cdot)_E$. Since $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_E$ and $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle$ are rigid, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &T\overline{\partial}^*_b = \overline{\partial}^*_b T \ \text{ on } \Omega^{0,\bullet}(X,E), \\ &\overline{\partial}^*_{b,m} := \overline{\partial}^*_b : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now, we fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The m-th Fourier component of Kohn Laplacian is given by

$$\Box_{b,m} := (\overline{\partial}_{b,m} + \overline{\partial}^*_{b,m})^2 : \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}_m(X,E). \tag{3.2}$$

We extend $\Box_{b,m}$ to $L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ by

$$\Box_{b,m} : \mathrm{Dom}\, \Box_{b,m} \subset L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m} := \{u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E);\, \Box_{b,m}u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)\}$, where for any $u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$, $\Box_{b,m}u$ is defined in the sense of distribution. It is well-known that $\Box_{b,m}$ is self-adjoint, $\mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}$ is a discrete subset of $[0, \infty[$ and for every $\nu \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}$, ν is an eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}$ (see Section 3 in [5]). For every $\nu \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}$, let $\{f^\nu_1, \ldots, f^\nu_{d_\nu}\}$ be an orthonormal frame for the eigenspace of $\Box_{b,m}$ with eigenvalue ν. The heat kernel $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x, y)$ is given by

$$e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x, y) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Spec}\,\Box_{b,m}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\nu} e^{-\nu t} f^\nu_j(x) \otimes (f^\nu_j(y))^\dagger, \tag{3.4}$$

where $f^\nu_j(x) \otimes (f^\nu_j(y))^\dagger$ denotes the linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} f^\nu_j(x) \otimes (f^\nu_j(y))^\dagger : T^{*0,\bullet}_y X \otimes E_y &\to T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x, \\ u(y) \in T^{*0,\bullet}_y X \otimes E_y &\to f^\nu_j(x) \langle\, u(y) \,|\, f^\nu_j(y) \,\rangle_E \in T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}} : L^2(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ be the continuous operator with distribution kernel $e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}(x, y)$.

We denote by $\dot{\mathcal{R}}$ the Hermitian matrix $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}X)$ such that for $V, W \in T^{1,0}X$,

$$id\omega_0(V, \overline{W}) = \langle\, \dot{\mathcal{R}}V \,|\, \overline{W} \,\rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\{\omega_j\}^n_{j=1}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T^{1,0}X$ with dual frame $\{\omega^j\}^n_{j=1}$. Set

$$\gamma_d = -i \sum_{l,j=1}^{n} d\omega_0(\omega_j, \overline{\omega}_l)\overline{\omega}^l \wedge \iota_{\overline{\omega}_j}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\iota_{\overline{\omega}_j}$ denotes the interior product of $\overline{\omega}_j$. Then $\gamma_d \in \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X)$ and $-id\omega_0$ acts as the derivative γ_d on $T^{*0,\bullet}X$. If we choose $\{\omega_j\}^n_{j=1}$ to be an orthonormal basis of $T^{1,0}X$ such that

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \mathrm{diag}(a_1(x), \cdots, a_n(x)) \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}_x X), \tag{3.7}$$

then

$$\gamma_d(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(x)\overline{\omega}^j \wedge \iota_{\overline{\omega}_j}. \tag{3.8}$$

Define $\det \dot{\mathcal{R}}(x) := a_1(x) \cdots a_n(x)$.

We pause and introduce some notations. Let F be a vector bundle over a smooth manifold M and let $H(x, m), G(x, m) \in C^\infty(M, F)$ be m-dependent smooth functions. We write $H(x, m) = G(x, m) + o(m^p)$ in $C^\ell(M, F)$ locally uniformly on M, where $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if for any compact subset $K \Subset M$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $m_0 > 0$ independent of m such that for all $m \geq m_0$ and for all $x \in K$, we have

$$|H(x, m) - G(x, m)|_{C^\ell(K,F)} \leq \epsilon m^p. \tag{3.9}$$

When M is compact, we omit locally.

Similarly, we write $H(x,m) = G(x,m) + O(m^p)$ in $C^\ell(M,F)$ locally uniformly on M, where $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if for any compact subset $K \Subset M$, there are $m_0 > 0$ and $C_K > 0$ independent of m such that for all $m \geq m_0$ and for all $x \in K$, we have

$$|H(x,m) - G(x,m)|_{C^\ell(K,F)} \leq C_K m^p. \tag{3.10}$$

When M is compact, we omit locally.

Let $B(t,x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times M, F)$. We write $B(t,x) = \sum_{j=-p}^{k} B_j(x)t^j + O(t^{k_1})$ in $C^\ell(M,F)$ locally uniformly on M, where $k, k_1, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $B_j(x) \in C^\infty(M,F)$, $j = -p, -p+1, \ldots, k$, if for any compact subset $K \Subset M$, there are constants $C_K > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ independent of t such that

$$|B(t,x) - \sum_{j=-p}^{k} B_j(x)t^j|_{C^\ell(K,F)} \leq C_K t^{k_1}, \quad \forall 0 < t < \varepsilon. \tag{3.11}$$

When M is compact, we omit locally.

We return to our situation. Let $A_\ell \in C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X))$, $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$, such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2\pi)^{-n-1} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}) \exp(t\gamma_d)}{\det(1 - \exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x) = \sum_{\ell=-n}^{k} A_\ell(x)t^\ell + O(t^{k+1}) \tag{3.12}$$

in $C^0(X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X))$ uniformly on X.

Fix $x, y \in X$. Let $d(x,y)$ denote the standard Riemannian distance of x and y with respect to the given Hermitian metric. Put $d(x, X_{\mathrm{sing}}) := \inf\{d(x,y);\, y \in X_{\mathrm{sing}}\}$.

The first goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *With the notations used above, fix $I \Subset \mathbb{R}_+$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there are $m_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $m \geq m_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x,x) - (2\pi)^{-n-1} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}) \exp(t\gamma_d)}{\det(1 - \exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x}\, m^n \Big| \\ &\leq \epsilon m^n + C m^n e^{-\varepsilon_0 m d(x, X_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}, \quad \forall (t,x) \in I \times X_{\mathrm{reg}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Here we use the convention that if an eigenvalue $a_j(x)$ of $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(x)$ is zero, then its contribution for $\frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}})}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x)$ is $\frac{1}{t}$ (see (3.7)).

3.2. **BRT trivializations.** To prove Theorem 3.1, we need some preparations. We first need the following result due to Baouendi-Rothschild-Treves [1].

Theorem 3.2. *For every point $x_0 \in X$, we can find local coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_{2n+1}) = (z, \theta) = (z_1, \cdots, z_n, \theta), z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}, j = 1, \cdots, n, x_{2n+1} = \theta$, defined in some small neighborhood $D = \{(z,\theta) : |z| < \delta, -\varepsilon_0 < \theta < \varepsilon_0\}$ of x_0, $\delta > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \pi$, such that $(z(x_0), \theta(x_0)) = (0,0)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ Z_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, j = 1, \cdots, n \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $Z_j(x), j = 1, \cdots, n$, form a basis of $T^{1,0}_x X$, for each $x \in D$ and $\varphi(z) \in C^\infty(D, \mathbb{R})$ independent of θ. We call $(D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ BRT trivialization.

By using BRT trivialization, we get another way to define $Tu, \forall u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$. Let $(D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization. It is clear that

$$\{d\overline{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}}, 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n\}$$

is a basis for $T^{*0,q}_x X$, for every $x \in D$. Let $u \in \Omega^{0,q}(X)$. On D, we write

$$u = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n} u_{j_1 \cdots j_q} d\overline{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Then on D we can check that

$$Tu = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_q \leq n} (Tu_{j_1 \cdots j_q}) d\overline{z_{j_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\overline{z_{j_q}} \tag{3.16}$$

and Tu is independent of the choice of BRT trivializations. Note that on BRT trivialization $(D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_b = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d\overline{z}_j \wedge (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} - i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}). \tag{3.17}$$

3.3. **Local heat kernels on BRT trivializations.** Until further notice, we fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $B := (D, (z, \theta), \varphi)$ be a BRT trivialization. We may assume that $D = U \times]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and U is an open set of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since E is rigid, we can consider E as a holomorphic vector bundle over U. We may assume that E is trivial on U. Consider $L \to U$ be a trivial line bundle with non-trivial Hermitian fiber metric $|1|^2_{h^L} = e^{-2\varphi}$. Let $(L^m, h^{L^m}) \to U$ be the m-th power of (L, h^L). Let $\Omega^{0,q}(U, E \otimes L^m)$ and $\Omega^{0,q}(U, E)$ be the spaces of $(0, q)$ forms on U with values in $E \otimes L^m$ and E respectively, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Put

$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m) := \oplus_{j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}} \Omega^{0,j}(U, E \otimes L^m),$$
$$\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E) := \oplus_{j \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}} \Omega^{0,j}(U, E).$$

Since L is trivial, from now on, we identify $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E)$ with $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m)$. Since the Hermitian fiber metric $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$ is rigid, we can consider $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$ as a Hermitian fiber metric on the holomorphic vector bundle E over U. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}TU$ given by

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} | \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \rangle, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces a Hermitian metric on $T^{*0,\bullet}U := \oplus_{j=0}^n T^{*0,j}U$, where $T^{*0,j}U$ is the bundle of $(0, j)$ forms on U, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. We shall also denote the Hermitian metric by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The Hermitian metric on $T^{*0,\bullet}U$ and E induce a Hermitian metric on $T^{*0,\bullet}U \otimes E$. We shall also denote this induced metric by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the L^2 inner product on $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E)$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$. Similarly, let $(\cdot, \cdot)_m$ be the L^2 inner product on $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m)$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$ and h^{L^m}.

The curvature of L induced by h^L is given by $R^L := 2\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$. Let $\dot{R}^L \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}U)$ be the Hermitian matrix given by

$$R^L(W,\overline{Y}) = \langle\, \dot{R}^L W\,,\, \overline{Y}\,\rangle, \quad W, Y \in T^{1,0}U.$$

Let $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T^{1,0}U$ with dual frame $\{w^j\}_{j=1}^n$. Set

$$\omega_d = -\sum_{l,j} R^L(w_j, \overline{w}_l)\overline{w}^l \wedge \iota_{\overline{w}_j}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\iota_{\overline{w}_j}$ denotes the interior product of $\overline{w}_j$. Let $\mathcal{A}_\ell \in C^\infty(U, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}U))$, $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$, such that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{R}^L)\exp(t\omega_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(z) = \sum_{\ell=-n}^{k} \mathcal{A}_\ell(z)t^\ell + O(t^{k+1}) \tag{3.19}$$

in $C^0(U, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}U))$ locally uniformly on U. Let

$$\pi : D \to U,$$
$$(z,\theta) \to z$$

be the natural projection. It is straightforward to check that

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{R}^L)\exp(t\omega_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(\pi(x)) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_{\pi(x)}} = (2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}})\exp(t\gamma_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x}, \quad \forall x \in D. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.12), (3.19) and (3.20), it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{A}_\ell(\pi(x)) = (2\pi)A_\ell(x), \quad \forall x \in D, \quad \ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots. \tag{3.21}$$

Let

$$\overline{\partial} : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m)$$

be the Cauchy-Riemann operator and let

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,m} : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m)$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_m$. Put

$$\Box_{B,m} := (\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^{*,m})^2 : \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m) \to \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E \otimes L^m). \tag{3.22}$$

We need the following result which is well-known (see Lemma 5.1 in [5])

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u \in \Omega_m^{0,\bullet}(X, E)$. On D, we write $u(z,\theta) = e^{im\theta}\widetilde{u}(z)$, $\widetilde{u}(z) \in \Omega^{0,\bullet}(U, E)$. Then,*

$$e^{-m\varphi}\Box_{B,m}(e^{m\varphi}\widetilde{u}) = e^{-im\theta}\Box_{b,m}(u). \tag{3.23}$$

Let $z, w \in U$ and let $T(z,w) \in (T_w^{*0,\bullet}U \otimes E_w) \boxtimes (T_z^{*0,\bullet}U \otimes E_z)$. We write $|T(z,w)|$ to denote the standard pointwise matrix norm of $T(z,w)$ induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_E$. Let $\Omega_0^{0,\bullet}(U,E)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U,E)$ whose elements have compact support in U. Let dv_U be the volume form on U induced by $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$. Assume $T(z,w) \in C^\infty(U \times U, (T_w^{*0,\bullet}U \otimes E_w) \boxtimes (T_z^{*0,\bullet}U \otimes E_z))$. Let $u \in \Omega_0^{0,\bullet}(U,E)$. We define the integral $\int T(z,w)u(w)dv_U(w)$ in the standard way. Let

$G(t,z,w)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times U\times U,(T^{*0,\bullet}_wU\otimes E_w)\boxtimes(T^{*0,\bullet}_zU\otimes E_z))$. We write $G(t)$ to denote the continuous operator

$$\begin{aligned}G(t):\Omega^{0,\bullet}_0(U,E)&\to\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U,E),\\ u&\to\int G(t,z,w)u(w)dv_U(w)\end{aligned}$$

and we write $G'(t)$ to denote the continuous operator

$$\begin{aligned}G'(t):\Omega^{0,\bullet}_0(U,E)&\to\Omega^{0,\bullet}(U,E),\\ u&\to\int\frac{\partial G(t,z,w)}{\partial t}u(w)dv_U(w).\end{aligned}$$

We consider the heat operator of $\Box_{B,m}$. By using the standard Dirichlet heat kernel construction (see [8]) and the proofs of Theorem 1.6.1 and Theorem 5.5.9 in [14], we deduce the following

Theorem 3.4. *There is $A_{B,m}(t,z,w)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times U\times U,(T^{*0,\bullet}_wU\otimes E_w)\boxtimes(T^{*0,\bullet}_zU\otimes E_z))$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\to0+}A_{B,m}(t)=I\ \text{ in }D'(U,T^{*0,\bullet}U\otimes E),\\ &A'_{B,m}(t)u+\frac{1}{m}A_{B,m}(t)(\Box_{B,m}u)=0,\quad\forall u\in\Omega^{0,\bullet}_0(U,E),\quad\forall t>0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

and $A_{B,m}(t,z,w)$ satisfies the following:

(I) For every compact set $K\Subset U$, $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2\in\mathbb{N}^n_0$, there are constants $C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,K}>0$ and $\varepsilon_0>0$ independent of t and m such that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\partial^{\alpha_1}_z\partial^{\alpha_2}_{\overline{z}}\partial^{\beta_1}_w\partial^{\beta_2}_{\overline{w}}\Big(A_{B,m}(t,z,w)e^{m(\varphi(w)-\varphi(z))}\Big)|\\ &\leq C_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta_1,\beta_2,K}(\frac{m}{t})^{n+|\alpha_1|+|\alpha_2|+|\beta_1|+|\beta_2|}e^{-m\varepsilon_0\frac{|z-w|^2}{t}},\quad\forall(t,z,w)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times K\times K.\end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

(II) $A_{B,m}(t,z,z)$ admits an asymptotic expansion:

$$A_{B,m}(t,z,z)=(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{R}^L)\exp(t\omega_d)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(z)\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{E_z}\otimes m^n+o(m^n)\tag{3.26}$$

in $C^\ell(U,\mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}U)\otimes E)$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}_+\times U$, for every $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$. Here we use the convention that if an eigenvalue $a_j(z)$ of $\dot{R}^L(z)$ is zero, then its contribution for $\frac{\det(\dot{R}^L)}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(z)$ is $\frac{1}{t}$.

(III) There exist $\mathcal{A}^B_{m,\ell}(z,w)\in C^\infty(U\times U,(T^{*0,\bullet}_wU\otimes E_w)\boxtimes(T^{*0,\bullet}_zU\otimes E_z))$ with

$$\mathcal{A}^B_{m,\ell}(z,z)=\mathcal{A}_\ell(z)\otimes\mathrm{Id}_E+O(m^{-1/2})\tag{3.27}$$

in $C^0(U,\mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}U)\otimes E)$ locally uniformly on U and

$$e^{m(\varphi(w)-\varphi(z))}\mathcal{A}^B_{m,\ell}(z,w)=O(m^0)\tag{3.28}$$

in $C^k(U\times U,(T^{*0,\bullet}U\otimes E)\boxtimes(T^{*0,\bullet}U\otimes E))$ locally uniformly on $U\times U$, $\forall k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\ell=-n,-n+1,\ldots$, where $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ is as in (3.19), such that for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and every compact set $K\Subset U$, there exists

$C > 0$ independent of t and m such that for any $t \in]0,1], m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|m^{-n}e^{m(\varphi(w)-\varphi(z))}A_{B,m}(t,z,w) - e^{-\frac{mh(z,w)}{t}}e^{m(\varphi(w)-\varphi(z))}\sum_{\ell=-n}^{k}\mathcal{A}^B_{m,\ell}(z,w)t^\ell\Big| \\ &\leq Ct^k, \quad \forall (z,w)\in K\times K,\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $h(z,w) \in C^\infty(U\times U)$ and for every compact set $K \Subset U$, there is a constant $C > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{C}|z-w|^2 \leq h(z,w) \leq C|z-w|^2$, for all $(z,w)\in K\times K$.

Assume that $X = D_1 \bigcup D_2 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup D_N$, where $B_j := (D_j, (z,\theta), \varphi_j)$ is a BRT trivialization, for each j. We may assume that for each j, $D_j = U_j \times]-2\delta_j, 2\widetilde{\delta}_j[\subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_j > 0$, $\widetilde{\delta}_j > 0$, $U_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \gamma_j\}$. For each j, put $\hat{D}_j = \hat{U}_j \times]-\frac{\delta_j}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{\delta}_j}{2}[$, where $\hat{U}_j = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \frac{\gamma_j}{2}\big\}$. We may suppose that $X = \hat{D}_1 \bigcup \hat{D}_2 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \hat{D}_N$. Let $\chi_j \in C^\infty_0(\hat{D}_j)$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$, with $\sum_{j=1}^N \chi_j = 1$ on X. Fix $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$. Put

$$K_j = \Big\{z \in \hat{U}_j;\, \text{there is a } \theta \in]-\tfrac{\delta_j}{2}, \tfrac{\widetilde{\delta}_j}{2}[\text{ such that } \chi_j(z,\theta) \neq 0\Big\}.$$

Let $\tau_j(z) \in C^\infty_0(\hat{U}_j)$ with $\tau_j \equiv 1$ on some neighborhood W_j of K_j. Let $\sigma_j \in C^\infty_0(]-\frac{\delta_j}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{\delta}_j}{2}[)$ with $\int \sigma_j(\theta)d\theta = 1$. Let $A_{B_j,m}(t,z,w) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_j \times U_j, (T^{*0,\bullet}_w U_j \otimes E_w) \boxtimes (T^{*0,\bullet}_z U_j \otimes E_z))$ be as in Theorem 3.4. Put

$$H_{j,m}(t,x,y) = \chi_j(x)e^{-m\varphi_j(z)+im\theta}A_{B_j,m}(t,z,w)e^{m\varphi_j(w)-im\eta}\tau_j(w)\sigma_j(\eta), \tag{3.30}$$

where $x = (z,\theta)$, $y = (w,\eta) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\Gamma_m(t,x,y) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{j,m}(t,x,e^{iu}\circ y)e^{imu}du. \tag{3.31}$$

From Lemma 3.3, off-diagonal estimates of $A_{B_j,m}(t,x,y)$ (see (3.25) and (3.29)), we can repeat the proof of Theorem 5.10 in [5] with minor change and deduce that

Theorem 3.5. *For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell \geq 2$, and every $M > 0$, there are $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $m_0 > 0$ independent of t and m such that for every $m \geq m_0$, we have*

$$\Big\|e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x,y) - \Gamma_m(t,x,y)\Big\|_{C^\ell(X\times X)} \leq e^{-\frac{m}{t}\epsilon_0}, \quad \forall t \in (0,M). \tag{3.32}$$

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let $B_j := (D_j, (z,\theta), \varphi_j)$, $\hat{D}_j$, $j = 1,\ldots,N$ be as before. We will use the same notations as before. For each j, we have $D_j = U_j \times]-2\delta_j, 2\widetilde{\delta}_j[\subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{D}_j = \hat{U}_j \times]-\frac{\delta_j}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{\delta}_j}{2}[\subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\delta_j > 0$, $\widetilde{\delta}_j > 0$, $U_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \gamma_j\}$, $\hat{U}_j = \big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n;\, |z| < \frac{\gamma_j}{2}\big\}$. Recall that $X = \hat{D}_1 \bigcup \cdots \bigcup \hat{D}_N$. We may assume that $\zeta = \delta_j = \widetilde{\delta}_j$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$, and $\zeta > 0$ satisfies

$$0 < \zeta < \inf\left\{\frac{\pi}{p_k}, |\frac{2\pi}{p_r} - \frac{2\pi}{p_{r+1}}|, r = 1,\ldots,k-1\right\}. \tag{3.33}$$

It is straightforward to see that there is a $\hat{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ such that for each $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\varepsilon}_0 d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1)) \leq |z_1 - z_2| \leq \frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_0} d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1)), \forall (z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1) \in \hat{D}_j,\\ &\hat{\varepsilon}_0 d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1))^2 \leq h_j(z_1,z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}_0} d((z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1))^2, \forall (z_1,\theta_1),(z_2,\theta_1) \in \hat{D}_j,\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where $h_j(z,w)$ is as in (3.29).

Fix $x_0 \in X_{\text{reg}}$. Fix $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Assume that $x_0 \in \hat{D}_j$ and suppose that $x_0 = (z_0, 0) \in \hat{D}_j$. Claim that there is a $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ independent of x_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{if } e^{i\theta} \circ x_0 = (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{\eta}) \in \hat{D}_j, \text{ for some } \theta \in [\zeta, 2\pi - \zeta],\\ &\text{then } |z_0 - \widetilde{z}| \geq \widetilde{\varepsilon}_0 d(x_0, X_{\text{sing}}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Proof of the claim. From (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{z} - z_0| &\geq \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \inf \left\{ d(e^{iu} \circ e^{i\theta} \circ x_0, x_0);\ |u| \leq \frac{\zeta}{2} \right\}\\ &\geq \hat{\varepsilon}_0 \inf \left\{ d(e^{i\theta} \circ x_0, x_0);\ \frac{\zeta}{2} \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

It is well-known that (see Theorem 6.5 in [5]) there is a constant $C > 1$ independent of x_0 such that

$$\frac{1}{C} d(x_0, X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \inf \left\{ d(e^{i\theta} \circ x_0, x_0);\ \frac{\zeta}{2} \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right\} \leq C d(x_0, X_{\text{sing}}). \tag{3.37}$$

From (3.37) and (3.36), the claim follows. □

From (3.34), (3.35) and (3.29), there are $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ independent of j, x_0, m, t such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{u \in [\zeta, 2\pi - \zeta]} H_{j,m}(t, x_0, e^{iu} \circ x_0) e^{imu} du \right| \leq C_0 m^n t^{-n} e^{\frac{-\varepsilon_0 m d(x_0, X_{\text{sing}})^2}{t}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.38}$$

Moreover, it is obvious that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\zeta}^{\zeta} H_{j,m}(t, x_0, e^{iu} \circ x_0) e^{imu} du = \frac{1}{2\pi} \chi_j(x_0) A_{B_j,m}(t, z_0, z_0). \tag{3.39}$$

From (3.38), (3.39) and (3.26), we conclude that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $I \Subset \mathbb{R}_+$, there are $m_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $C_0 > 0$ independent of x_0, t, m such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{j,m}(t, x_0, e^{iu} \circ x_0) e^{imu} du - (2\pi)^{-n-1} \chi_j(x_0) \frac{\det(\dot{R}^L) \exp(t\omega_d)}{\det(1 - \exp(-t\dot{R}^L))}(z_0) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_{z_0}} \otimes m^n \right|\\ &\leq \varepsilon m^n + C_0 m^n e^{-\varepsilon_0 m d(x_0, X_{\text{sing}})^2},\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

for every $m \geq m_0$ and every $t \in I$.

From (3.40), (3.31) and (3.20), we deduce that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $I \Subset \mathbb{R}_+$, there are constants $m_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ independent of x_0, m such that

$$|\Gamma(t, x_0, x_0) - (2\pi)^{-n-1} \frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}}) \exp(t\gamma_d)}{\det(1 - \exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x_0) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_0}} \otimes m^n| \leq \varepsilon m^n + C m^n e^{-\varepsilon_0 m d(x_0, X_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}, \tag{3.41}$$

for all $t \in I$, $m \geq m_0$.

From (3.41), (3.32) and notice that the constants m_0, C and ε_0 are independent of x_0, we get (3.13).

3.5. **Asymptotic expansion for the heat kernels of the Kohn Laplacians.** Now, we can prove

Theorem 3.6. *There exist $A_{m,\ell}(t, x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E))$ with $|A_{m,\ell}(t, x)| \leq C_\ell$, for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X$, where $C_\ell > 0$ is a constant independent of m, $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$, such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in]0, 1]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and every $x \in X$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$\Big| m^{-n} e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x, x) - \sum_{\ell=-n}^{k} A_{m,\ell}(t, x) t^j \Big| \leq C t^k, \tag{3.42}$$

Moreover, for every $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$, we can find $A_{m,\ell}(x) \in C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x))$ with

$$A_{m,\ell}(x) = A_\ell(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x} + O(m^{-1/2}) \tag{3.43}$$

in $C^0(X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X) \otimes E)$ uniformly on X such that there exist $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ independent of t and m such that for any $t \in]0, 1]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\Big| A_{m,\ell}(t, x) - A_{m,\ell}(x) \Big| \leq C e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_0 m d(x, X_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}{t}}, \quad \forall x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}, \tag{3.44}$$

where $A_\ell(x) \in C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}X) \otimes E)$, $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$, are as in (3.12).

Proof. Let $B_j := (D_j, (z, \theta), \varphi_j)$, $\hat{D}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ be as before. We will use the same notations as before. Let $\hat{\sigma}_j \in C^\infty_0(]-\delta_j, \widetilde{\delta}_j[)$ such that $\hat{\sigma}_j = 1$ on some neighbourhood of $\mathrm{Supp}\,\sigma_j$ and $\hat{\sigma}_j(\theta) = 1$ if $(z, \theta) \in \mathrm{Supp}\,\chi_j$. For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, put

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{h}_j(x, y) = \hat{\sigma}_j(\theta) h_j(z, w) \hat{\sigma}_j(\eta) \in C^\infty_0(D_j), \quad x = (z, \theta), \quad y = (w, \eta), \\ &\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, y) = \chi_j(x) e^{-m\varphi_j(z) + im\theta} \mathcal{A}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(z, w) e^{m\varphi_j(w) - im\eta} \tau_j(w) \sigma_j(\eta), \quad \ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}$ is as in (3.27). Let

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{m,\ell}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x, e^{iu} \circ y)}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, e^{iu} \circ y) e^{imu} du, \quad \ell = -n, -n+1, -n+2, \ldots, \\ &A_{m,\ell}(t, x) := A_{m,\ell}(t, x, x), \quad \ell = -n, -n+1, -n+2, \ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

From Theorem 3.5 and (3.29), it is easy to see that (3.42) holds.

Put

$$A_{m,\ell}(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\chi_j(x)\mathcal{A}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(z,z),\quad \ell = -n,-n+1,-n+2,\ldots. \tag{3.47}$$

From (3.27) and (3.21), we have

$$A_{m,\ell}(x) = A_\ell(x)\otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x} + O(m^{-1/2}) \tag{3.48}$$

in $C^0(X,\mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}_x X\otimes E_x))$ uniformly on X, where $A_\ell(x)\in C^\infty(X,\mathrm{End}(T^{*0,\bullet}_x X\otimes E_x))$, $\ell = -n,-n+1,\ldots$, are as in (3.12). Fix $\ell = -n,-n+1,\ldots$ and fix $x_0\in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1,, we have

$$A_{m,\ell}(t,x_0) = A_{m,\ell}(x_0) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{u\in[\zeta,2\pi-\zeta]} e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}{t}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)e^{imu}du. \tag{3.49}$$

From the property of $h_j(z,w)$, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 and conclude that for every $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$, there exist $C>0$ and $\varepsilon_0>0$ independent of t, m and x_0 such that for any $t\in]0,1]$, $m\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and any $u\in[\zeta,2\pi-\zeta]$ with $e^{iu}\circ x_0\in\hat{D}_j$, we have

$$|e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x_0,e^{iu}\circ x_0)}{t}}| \leq Ce^{-\frac{\varepsilon_0 m d(x_0,X_{\mathrm{sing}})^2}{t}}. \tag{3.50}$$

From (3.50) and (3.49), the theorem follows. □

We pause and introduce some notations. Let $g(t)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We write

$$g(t)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_{-k+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}\ \text{ as } t\to 0^+,$$

where $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $g_{-k+\frac{j}{2}}\in\mathbb{C}$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$, if for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_N>0$ and $\delta>0$ independent of t such that

$$|g(t)-\sum_{j=0}^{N} g_{-k+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}| \leq C_N t^{-k+\frac{N+1}{2}},\quad \forall t\in]0,\delta[. \tag{3.51}$$

Let $h_m(t)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be m-dependent function. We write

$$h_m(t)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_{m,-k+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}\ \text{ as } t\to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m,$$

where $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $h_{m,-k+\frac{j}{2}}\in\mathbb{C}$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$, if for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_N>0$, $\delta>0$ independent of t and m such that for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$|h_m(t)-\sum_{j=0}^{N} h_{m,-k+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}| \leq C_N t^{-k+\frac{N+1}{2}},\quad \forall t\in]0,\delta[. \tag{3.52}$$

For the proof of our main result, we need to know the asymptotic behavior of

$$\int_X A_{m,\ell}(t,x)dv_X(x),\quad \ell=-n,-n+1,\ldots.$$

Our next goal in this section is to prove the following

Theorem 3.7. *With the notations used in Theorem 3.6, fix $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$. We can find $a^{\frac{j}{2}}_{m,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, with*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m\to\infty} a^0_{m,\ell} = \int_X A_\ell(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x}\, dv_X(x), \\ &\lim_{m\to\infty} a^{\frac{j}{2}}_{m,\ell} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

$a^{\frac{j}{2}}_{m,\ell}$ is independent of t, for each j, $|a^{\frac{j}{2}}_{m,\ell}| \leq C_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C_j > 0$ is a constant independent of m, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, such that

$$\int_X A_{m,\ell}(t, x) dv_X(x) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^{\frac{j}{2}}_{m,\ell} t^{\frac{j}{2}} \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m. \tag{3.54}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X m^{-n} e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x, x) dv_X(x) \\ &\sim t^{-n} b_{m,-n} + t^{-n+\frac{1}{2}} b_{m,-n+\frac{1}{2}} + t^{-n+1} b_{-n+1} + t^{-n+\frac{3}{2}} b_{-n+\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m, \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

where for each $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$, $b_{m,-n+j} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t and there is a constant $\hat{C}_j > 0$ independent of m, such that $|b_{m,-n+j}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m\to\infty} b_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} = \int_X A_{-n+\frac{j}{2}}(x) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{E_x}\, dv_X(x) \text{ if } j \text{ is an even number}, \\ &\lim_{m\to\infty} b_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} = 0, \quad \text{if } j \text{ is an odd number}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

Let $B_j := (D_j, (z, \theta), \varphi_j)$, $\hat{D}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ be as before. We will use the same notations as before. Let $\hat{h}_j(x, y)$, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, y)$ be as in (3.45), $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$. Now, we fix $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

Theorem 3.8. *Fix p_s, $s = 2, 3, \ldots, k$, and $x_0 \in \hat{D}_j$. Assume that $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p_s}} \circ x_0 \in \hat{D}_j$. Then, there are a neighborhood Ω of x_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $g(x) \in C^\infty_0(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon} \int_X g(x) e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x, e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, e^{iu} \circ x) e^{imu} dv_X(x) du \\ &\sim b_{m,0} + b_{m,\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} + b_{m,1} t + b_{m,\frac{3}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots \text{as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m, \end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

where $b_{m,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t and there is a constant $\hat{C}_j > 0$ independent of m, such that $|b_{m,j}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_{m,j} = 0$, $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$.

Proof. For simplicity, assume that $x_0 = (z_0, 0)$. Suppose $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p_s}} \circ x_0 = (\widetilde{z}_0, \widetilde{\theta})$. If $\widetilde{z}_0 \neq z_0$, then there are a neighborhood Ω of x_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $e^{i\theta} \circ x = (\widetilde{z}, \hat{\theta})$ with $|\widetilde{z} - z_0| \geq \frac{1}{2}|z_0 - \widetilde{z}_0|$, for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\theta \in]\frac{2\pi}{p_s} - \varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{p_s} + \varepsilon[$. From the property of $\hat{h}_j(x, y)$ (see (3.29) and (3.45)), it is straightforward to see that

$$\int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon} \int_X g(x) e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x, e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, e^{iu} \circ x) e^{imu} dv_X(x) du = O((|\frac{t}{m}|)^\infty), \tag{3.58}$$

for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Thus, we may assume that $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p_s}} \circ x_0 = (z_0, \widetilde{\theta}_0)$, $|\widetilde{\theta}_0| < \frac{\zeta}{2}$. It $\widetilde{\theta}_0 \neq 0$, then $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p_\ell} - i\widetilde{\theta}_0} x_0 = x_0$. Hence, $\frac{2\pi}{p_s} - \widetilde{\theta}_0 = \frac{2\pi}{p_k}$, for some p_k. But $|\widetilde{\theta}_0| < \frac{\zeta}{2}$ and ζ satisfies (3.33), we get a contradiction. Hence, $\widetilde{\theta}_0 = 0$. Thus, $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p_s}} \circ x_0 = (z_0, 0) = x_0$.

For every x in some small neighborhood of x_0 and every $\theta \in]\frac{2\pi}{p_s} - \varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{p_s} + \varepsilon[$, where $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\zeta}{2}$ is a small constant, if $x = (z, v) \in \hat{D}_j$, $e^{i\theta} \circ x = (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{v}) \in \hat{D}_j$, we can check that

$$h_j(z, \widetilde{z}) = f(x)|z - \widetilde{z}|^2 = f(x) \inf \left\{ |x - e^{iu+i\theta} x|^2;\, |u| \leq \frac{\zeta}{2} \right\}, \tag{3.59}$$

where h_j is as in (3.29), $f(x)$ is a positive continuous function. From (3.59), we can repeat the proof of Theorem 6.5 in [5] with minor change and deduce that for every $x \in X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and x in some small neighborhood of x_0 and every $\theta \in]\frac{2\pi}{p_s} - \varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{p_s} + \varepsilon[$, where $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\zeta}{2}$ is a small constant, we have

$$h_j(z, \widetilde{z}) = f_1(x) d(x, X_{p_\ell})^2, \tag{3.60}$$

where $x = (z, v) \in \hat{D}_j$, $e^{i\theta} x = (\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{v}) \in \hat{D}_j$ and $f_1(x)$ is a positive continuous function. We take local coordinates $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{2n+1})$ defined in some small neighborhood $\hat{\Omega} \Subset \hat{D}_j$ of x_0 such that $y(x_0) = 0$, $X_{p_s} = \left\{ y \in \hat{\Omega};\, y_1 = \cdots = y_r = 0 \right\}$ and

$$d(y, X_{p_s})^2 = f_2(y)(|y_1|^2 + \cdots + |y_r|^2), \quad \forall y \in \hat{\Omega}, \tag{3.61}$$

where $f_2(y)$ is a positive continuous function. Let $\Omega \Subset \hat{\Omega}$ be an open set of x_0 and let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\zeta}{2}$ be a small constant so that $e^{i\theta} \circ x \in \hat{\Omega}$, for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\theta \in]\frac{2\pi}{p_s} - \varepsilon, \frac{2\pi}{p_s} + \varepsilon[$. From (3.60) and (3.61), for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon} \int_X g(x) e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x, e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, e^{iu} \circ x) e^{imu} dv_X(x) du \\
&= \int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon} \int_X g(x) e^{-m\frac{f_1(x) d(x, X_{p_s})^2}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x, e^{iu} \circ x) e^{imu} dv_X(x) du \\
&= \int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon} \int_X g(y) e^{-m\frac{f_1(y) f_2(y)(|y_1|^2 + \cdots + |y_r|)^2}{t}} \hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(y, e^{iu} \circ y) e^{imu} dv_X(y) du \\
&\sim b_{m,0} + b_{m,\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} + b_1 t + b_{m,\frac{3}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots \text{as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

where $b_{m,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t and there is a constant $\hat{C}_j > 0$ independent of m, such that $|b_{m,j}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_{m,j} = 0$, $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$. From (3.58) and (3.62), the theorem follows. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let $x_0 \in \hat{D}_j$. Then, there is a neighborhood Ω of x_0 such that for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{2\pi}\int_X g(x)e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}dv_X(x)du\\ &\sim d_{m,0}+d_{m,\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}+d_{m,1}t+d_{m,\frac{3}{2}}t^{\frac{3}{2}}+\cdots \text{ as } t\to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m,\end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

where $d_{m,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t and there is a constant $\hat{C}_j > 0$ independent of m, such that $|d_{m,j}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{m,0} = \lim_{m\to\infty}\int_X g(x)\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,x)dv_X(x)du,\\ &\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{m,j} = 0, \quad j = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots.\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.8, we see that there are a neighborhood Ω of x_0 and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\zeta}{2}$ such that for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and every $s = 2, 3, \ldots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}-\varepsilon}^{\frac{2\pi}{p_s}+\varepsilon}\int_X g(x)e^{-m\frac{\hat{h}_j(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}dv_X(x)du\\ &\sim b_{m,0,s}+b_{m,\frac{1}{2},s}t^{\frac{1}{2}}+b_{m,1,s}t+b_{m,s,\frac{3}{2},\ell}t^{\frac{3}{2}}+\cdots \text{as } t\to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m,\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

where $b_{m,j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t and there is a constant $\hat{C}_j > 0$ independent of m, such that $|b_{m,j,s}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} b_{m,j,s} = 0$, $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$.

It is obvious that for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}^{\frac{\zeta}{2}}\int_X g(x)e^{-\frac{\hat{h}_j(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}dv_X(x)du = \int g(x)t\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,x)dv_X(x). \tag{3.66}$$

Note that when $|u| \leq \frac{\zeta}{2}$, the function $e^{-\frac{\hat{h}_j(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}}$ is independent of t, for $x \in \hat{D}_j$. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\theta\in[\frac{\zeta}{2},2\pi-\frac{\zeta}{2}],\theta\notin\bigcup_{\ell=2}^k[\frac{2\pi}{p_\ell}-\varepsilon,\frac{2\pi}{p_\ell}+\varepsilon]}\int_X g(x)e^{-\frac{\hat{h}_j(x,e^{iu}\circ x)}{t}}\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{B_j}_{m,\ell}(x,e^{iu}\circ x)e^{imu}dv_X(x)du = O((|\frac{t}{m}|)^\infty). \tag{3.67}$$

From (3.65), (3.66) and (3.67), the theorem follows. □

From Theorem 3.9 and by using partition of unity, we get Theorem 3.7.

3.6. **Spectral gap of $\Box_{b,m}^{(q)}$.** Fix $q = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\Box_{b,m}^{(q)} : \mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,m}^{(q)} \subset L^2_m(X, T^{*0,q}X \otimes E) \to L^2_m(X, T^{*0,q}X \otimes E)$ be the restriction of $\Box_{b,m}$ on $(0,q)$ forms. In this work, we need

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mu_m^{(q)}$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}^{(q)}$. There exist constants $c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$ not depending on m such that for $q \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mu_m^{(q)} \geq c_1 m - c_2. \tag{3.68}$$

Proof. Fix $q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. If we go through Kohn's L^2 estimates (see [4, Theorem 8.4.2]), we see that there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of m such that for all $u \in \Omega^{0,q}_m(X, E)$ with $i(\,Tu\,|\,u\,)_E \leq 0$, we have

$$\|u\|_{E,1} \leq C\Big(\Big\|\Box_{b,m}^{(q)} u\Big\|_E + \|u\|_E \Big), \tag{3.69}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{E,1}$ denotes the standard Sobolev norm of order 1. Now, we assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $i(\,Tu\,|\,u\,)_E = -m\,\|u\|^2_E \leq 0$, for all $u \in \Omega^{0,q}_m(X, E)$. From this observation and (3.69), we get

$$m\,\|u\|_E = \|Tu\|_E \leq \|u\|_{E,1} \leq C\Big(\Big\|\Box_{b,m}^{(q)} u\Big\|_E + \|u\|_E \Big), \tag{3.70}$$

for all $u \in \Omega^{0,q}_m(X, E)$. Let $\mu_m^{(q)}$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $\Box_{b,m}^{(q)}$ and let $v \in \Omega^{0,q}_m(X, E)$ be a non-zero eigenfunction of $\Box_{b,m}^{(q)}$ with eigenvalue $\mu_m^{(q)}$. From (3.70), we have

$$m\,\|v\|_E \leq C\Big(\Big\|\Box_{b,m}^{(q)} v\Big\|_E + \|v\|_E \Big) = C(\mu_m^{(q)} + 1)\,\|v\|_E\,. \tag{3.71}$$

Hence, $\mu_m^{(q)} \geq \frac{m}{C} - 1$. The theorem follows. □

4. Analytic torsion on CR manifolds with S^1-action

In this section we first study Mellin transformation, then we define the Fourier components of the analytic torsion for the rigid CR vector bundle E over the CR manifold X with transversal CR S^1-action.

4.1. **Mellin transformation.** Let $\Gamma(z)$ be the Gamma function on $\mathbb{C}$. Then for $\mathrm{Re}\, z > 0$, we have

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt.$$

$\Gamma(z)^{-1}$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$\Gamma(z)^{-1} = z + O(z^2) \ \text{ near } z = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

We suppose that $f(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ verifies the following two conditions:

I.
$$f(t) \sim \textstyle\sum_{j=0}^\infty f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}} \text{ as } t \to 0^+, \tag{4.2}$$
where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

II. For every $\delta > 0$, there exist $c > 0$, $C > 0$ such that
$$|f(t)| \leq Ce^{-ct}, \quad \forall t \geq \delta. \tag{4.3}$$

Definition 4.1. *The* Mellin transformation *of f is the function defined for* $\operatorname{Re} z > k$,

$$M[f](z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty f(t) t^{z-1} dt. \tag{4.4}$$

We can repeat the proof of Lemma 5.5.2 in [14] and deduce the following

Theorem 4.2. *$M[f]$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles contained in*

$$\left\{ \ell - \frac{j}{2}; \, \ell, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and its possible poles are simple. Moreover, $M[f]$ is holomorphic at 0,

$$M[f](0) = f_0 \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial M[f]}{\partial z}(0) = &\int_0^1 (f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{2k} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}) \frac{1}{t} dt \\ &+ \int_1^\infty f(t) \frac{1}{t} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \frac{f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}}}{\frac{j}{2} - k} - \Gamma'(1) f_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. By (4.3), the function $\int_1^\infty f(t) t^{z-1} dt$ is an entire function on $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 f(t) t^{z-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}) t^{z-1} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{N} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} \int_0^1 t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}+z-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}) t^{z-1} dt + \sum_{j=0}^{N} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} \frac{1}{-k+\frac{j}{2}+z}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

From (4.2) and (4.3), we see that $\int_0^1 (f(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} f_{-k+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-k+\frac{j}{2}}) t^{z-1} dt$ is a holomorphic function for $\operatorname{Re} z > k - \frac{N+1}{2} + 1$. From this observation and (4.7), we conclude that $M[f]$ can be extended to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles contained in $\left\{ \ell - \frac{j}{2}; \, \ell, j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$, and its possible poles are simple.

From (4.1), we conclude that $M[f]$ is holomorphic at $z = 0$. Take $N = 2k + 2$ in (4.7) and by some direct computation, we get (4.5) and (4.6). □

4.2. **Definition of the Fourier components of the analytic torsion.** Let N be the number operator on $T^{*0,\bullet}X$, i.e. N acts on $T^{*0,q}X$ by multiplication by q. Fix $q = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ and

take a point $x \in X$. Let $e_1(x), \cdots, e_d(x)$ be an orthonormal frame of $T^{*0,q}_x X \otimes E_x$. Let $A \in (T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x) \boxtimes (T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x)$. Put $\mathrm{Tr}^{(q)} A := \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle Ae_j | e_j \rangle_E$ and set

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, A := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{Tr}^{(j)} A,$$
$$\mathrm{STr}\, A := \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \mathrm{Tr}^{(j)} A. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $A : C^\infty(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to C^\infty(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E)$ be a continuous operator with distribution kernel $A(x,y) \in C^\infty(X \times X, (T^{*0,\bullet}_y X \otimes E_y) \boxtimes (T^{*0,\bullet}_x X \otimes E_x))$. We set

$$\mathrm{Tr}^{(q)}[A] := \int_X \mathrm{Tr}^{(q)} A(x,x) dv_X(x)$$

and put

$$\mathrm{Tr}[A] := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{Tr}^{(j)}[A],$$
$$\mathrm{STr}[A] := \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \mathrm{Tr}^{(j)}[A]. \tag{4.9}$$

Let

$$\Pi_m : L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to \mathrm{Ker}\, \Box_{b,m}$$

be the orthogonal projection and let

$$\Pi^\perp_m : L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E) \to (\mathrm{Ker}\, \Box_{b,m})^\perp$$

be the orthogonal projection, where

$$(\mathrm{Ker}\, \Box_{b,m})^\perp = \left\{ u \in L^2_m(X, T^{*0,\bullet}X \otimes E);\, (\, u \,|\, v\,)_E = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \Box_{b,m} \right\}.$$

By [5, Theorem 1.7], we have the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\mathrm{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}] \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}} \ \text{ as } t \to 0^+, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} \in \mathbb{C}$ is independent of t, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Lemma 4.3. *Fix $q = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. For every $\delta > 0$, there exist $c > 0$, $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathrm{Tr}^{(\mathrm{q})}[e^{-t\Box_{b,m}} \Pi^\perp_m]| \leq Ce^{-ct}, \quad \forall t \geq \delta. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots$ be the non-zero eigenvalues of $\Box^{(q)}_{b,m}$. For $t > 0$, we have

$$|\mathrm{Tr}^{(\mathrm{q})}[e^{-t\Box_{b,m}} \Pi^\perp_m]| = e^{-\lambda_1 t} + e^{-\lambda_2 t} + \cdots. \tag{4.12}$$

Let $\delta > 0$. From (4.12), for $t \geq \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{Tr}^{(\mathrm{q})}[e^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}]| &= e^{-\lambda_1 t} + e^{-\lambda_2 t} + \cdots \\ &= e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}}(e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_2 t + \lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_3 t + \lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}} + \cdots) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}}(e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_2 \frac{t}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_3 \frac{t}{2}} + \cdots) \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}}(e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{\delta}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_2 \frac{\delta}{2}} + e^{-\lambda_3 \frac{\delta}{2}} + \cdots) \\ &= e^{-\lambda_1 \frac{t}{2}}|\mathrm{Tr}^{(\mathrm{q})}[e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}]|.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.13), the lemma follows. □

From (4.10) and Lemma 4.3, we see that $\mathrm{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}]$ satisfies (4.2) and (4.3). By Definition 4.1, for $\mathrm{Re}(z) > n$, we can define

$$\theta_{b,m}(z) = -M\left[\mathrm{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}]\right] = -\,\mathrm{STr}\left[N(\Box_{b,m})^{-z}\Pi_m^{\perp}\right]. \tag{4.14}$$

By Theorem 4.2, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *$\theta_{b,m}(z)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles contained in the set*

$$\left\{\ell - \frac{j}{2};\, \ell, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\},$$

its possible poles are simple, and $\theta_{b,m}(z)$ is holomorphic at 0. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta'_{b,m}(0) = &-\int_0^1 \left\{\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\right] - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}}\right\}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &- \int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-t\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}\right]\frac{dt}{t} - \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \hat{B}_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}}\frac{1}{\frac{j}{2}-n} + \Gamma'(1)(\hat{B}_{m,0} - \mathrm{STr}[N\Pi_m]).\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Definition 4.5. *Fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta'_{b,m}(0))$ the m-th Fourier component of the analytic torsion for the rigid vector bundle E over the CR manifold X with transversal CR S^1-action.*

5. The asymptotics of the analytic torsion

Recall that we work with the assumption that X is strongly pseudoconvex. We can repeat the proof of Theorem 3.7 and deduce

Theorem 5.1. *With the notations used before, we have*

$$m^{-n}\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right] \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}} \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } m, \tag{5.1}$$

where for each $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \ldots$, $B_{m,-n+j} \in \mathbb{C}$ *is independent of* t *and there is a constant* $\hat{C}_j > 0$ *independent of* m, *such that* $|B_{m,-n+j}| \leq \hat{C}_j$, *for every* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m\to\infty} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} = \mathrm{rk}(E)\int_X NA_{-n+\frac{j}{2}}(x)dv_X, \quad \textit{if } j \textit{ is an even number},\\ &\lim_{m\to\infty} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} = 0, \quad \textit{if } j \textit{ is an odd number}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $A_\ell(x) \in C^\infty(X, \mathrm{End}\,(T^{*0,\bullet}X) \otimes E)$ *is as in* (3.12), $\ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots$.

5.1. **Asymptotics of the analytic torsion.** For $x \in X, t > 0$, we set

$$\mathcal{R}_t(x) = \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right) \mathrm{Tr}\left[(\mathrm{Id} - \exp(t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))^{-1}\right]. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\omega^1(x), \ldots, \omega^n(x) \in C^\infty(X, T^{*1,0}X)$ be an orthonormal basis for $T^{*1,0}_x X$, for every $x \in X$. Define $\Theta(x) := i\sum_{j=1}^n \omega^j(x) \wedge \overline{\omega^j}(x) \in C^\infty(X, T^{*1,1}X)$. Then we have

$$dv_X = \frac{1}{n!}\Theta^n \wedge (-\omega_0), \quad \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right) dv_X(x) = \frac{1}{n!}\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0\right)^n \wedge (-\omega_0). \tag{5.4}$$

By (5.3) and (5.4), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following asymptotic expansion, for $t \to 0$,

$$\mathcal{R}_t(x) = \sum_{\ell=-n}^{k} \widehat{A}_\ell(x) t^l + O(t^{k+1}), \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{A}_\ell = 0 \ \text{ for } \ l \leq -2, \quad \widehat{A}_{-1}dv_X = -\frac{1}{(n-1)!}\frac{\Theta}{2\pi} \wedge \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0\right)^{n-1} \wedge (-\omega_0),\\ &\widehat{A}_0\ dv_X = \frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{n!}\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0\right)^n \wedge (-\omega_0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

We need

Lemma 5.2. *With the notations above, we have*

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}})\,\mathrm{STr}\,Ne^{t\gamma_d}}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(x) = \mathcal{R}_t(x), \quad \forall x \in X. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. Fix $p \in X$, let $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^n$ to be an orthonormal basis of $T^{1,0}_x X$ such that

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(p) = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}_p X), \tag{5.8}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_d(p) = -\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \overline{\omega}^j(p) \wedge \iota_{\overline{w}_j}(p),\\ &\det\dot{\mathcal{R}}(p) := \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

From (5.8) and (5.9), it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{R}_t(p) = \frac{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}{(2\pi)^n}\Big(\frac{1}{1-e^{\mu_1 t}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{1-e^{\mu_n t}}\Big) \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{\det(\dot{\mathcal{R}})\,\mathrm{STr}\,Ne^{t\gamma_d}}{\det(1-\exp(-t\dot{\mathcal{R}}))}(p)\\
&= \frac{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{1}{(1-e^{-\mu_1 t})\cdots(1-e^{-\mu_n t})}\sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^q q \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q),1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\le n} e^{(-\mu_{j_1}-\cdots-\mu_{j_q})t}\\
&= \frac{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{e^{(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_n)t}}{(1-e^{\mu_1 t})\cdots(1-e^{\mu_n t})}\sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+q} q \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q),1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\le n} e^{(-\mu_{j_1}-\cdots-\mu_{j_q})t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{-(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_n)t}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(1-e^{\mu_1 t})\cdots(1-e^{\mu_{j-1}t})(1-e^{\mu_{j+1}t})\cdots(1-e^{\mu_n t})\\
&= e^{-(\mu_1+\cdots+\mu_n)t}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^q \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q),1\le j_1<\cdots<j_q\le n, j\notin J} e^{(\mu_{j_1}+\cdots+\mu_{j_q})t}\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+q} \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q),1\le j_1<\cdots<j_q\le n, j\in J} e^{(-\mu_{j_1}-\cdots-\mu_{j_q})t}\\
&= \sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+q} q \sum_{J=(j_1,\ldots,j_q),1\le j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_q\le n} e^{(-\mu_{j_1}-\cdots-\mu_{j_q})t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

From (5.12), (5.11) and (5.10), the lemma follows. □

Theorem 5.3. *With the notations used in Theorem 5.1, we have*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\int_X \widehat{A}_{-n+\frac{j}{2}}(x), \quad \textit{for all } j\ge 0,\ j \textit{ is even} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\widehat{A}_\ell$ is as in (5.5).

Proof. By (3.12) and Lemma 5.2, we get

$$\mathrm{STr}\,NA_\ell(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\widehat{A}_\ell(x), \quad \ell = -n, -n+1, \ldots. \tag{5.14}$$

From (5.14) and (5.2), the theorem follows. □

Theorem 5.4. *There exist $C, c, c' > 0$ such that for any $q \ge 1, t \ge 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$m^{-n}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{(q)}\Big[e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\Big] \le C\exp\big(-(c-c'/m)t\big). \tag{5.15}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.10, for $t \geq 1, q \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}^{(q)}\left[e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right] \leq \mathrm{Tr}^{(q)}\left[e^{-\frac{1}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right] \exp\left(-\frac{(t-1)}{m}(c_1 m - c_2)\right). \tag{5.16}$$

By (3.13), we know that $m^{-n}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{(q)}\left[e^{-\frac{1}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right]$ has a finite limit as $m \to +\infty$. By (5.16), we have (5.15). □

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 5.5. *As $m \to +\infty$, we have*

$$\theta'_{b,m}(0) = \frac{\mathrm{rk}(E)}{4\pi}\int_X \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\right) e^{-m\frac{d\omega_0}{2\pi}} \wedge (-\omega_0) + o(m^n), \tag{5.17}$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathrm{End}(T^{1,0}X)$ is defined in (3.5).

Proof. For $m > \frac{2c_2}{c_1}$ in Theorem 3.10, set

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{b,m}(z) = -M\left[m^{-n}\,\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\Pi_m^{\perp}\right]\right](z). \tag{5.18}$$

Clearly

$$m^{-n}\theta_{b,m}(z) = m^{-z}\widetilde{\theta}_{b,m}(z). \tag{5.19}$$

By Theorem 3.10, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.3, (5.6) and (5.19), for $m > \frac{2c_2}{c_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&m^{-n}\theta'_{b,m}(0) = -\log(m)\widetilde{\theta}_{b,m}(0) + \widetilde{\theta}'_{b,m}(0),\\
&\lim_{m\to\infty}\widetilde{\theta}_{b,m}(0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\int_X \widehat{A}_0 dv_X = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{n!}\int_X(-\frac{1}{2\pi}d\omega_0)^n \wedge (-\omega_0),\\
&\mathrm{STr}[N\Pi_m] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

By Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.3, (4.15) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m\to\infty}\widetilde{\theta}'_{b,m}(0) =& -\lim_{m\to\infty}\int_0^1\left\{m^{-n}\,\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right] - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}}\right\}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&-\lim_{m\to\infty}\int_1^\infty\left\{m^{-n}\,\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right]\right\}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&-\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}}\frac{1}{\frac{j}{2}-n} + \Gamma'(1)\lim_{m\to\infty}B_{m,0}\\
=& -\int_0^1\lim_{m\to\infty}\left\{m^{-n}\,\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right] - \sum_{j=0}^{2n} B_{m,-n+\frac{j}{2}}t^{-n+\frac{j}{2}}\right\}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&-\int_1^\infty\lim_{m\to\infty}\left\{m^{-n}\,\mathrm{STr}\left[Ne^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}\right]\right\}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\int_X\widehat{A}_{-1}dv_X + \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{rk}(E)\Gamma'(1)\int_X\widehat{A}_0 dv_X.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

By Theorem 3.1 and (5.7), for any $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} m^{-n} \operatorname{STr}\left[N e^{-\frac{t}{m}\Box_{b,m}}(x,x)\right] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\mathcal{R}_t(x), \tag{5.22}$$

and the convergence is uniform for $x \in X$ and for t varying in compact subsets of $]0,\infty[$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ set

$$\widetilde{\zeta}(z) = -M\left[\int_X \mathcal{R}_t(x)dv_X(x)\right](z). \tag{5.23}$$

By Theorem 5.3, Theorem 5.4, (5.21), (5.22), (5.23) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{m\to\infty} \widetilde{\theta}'_{b,m}(0)\\
=&-\frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\int_0^1\left\{\int_X \mathcal{R}_t(x)dv_X(x) - \left(\frac{1}{t}\int_X \widehat{A}_{-1}dv_X + \int_X \widehat{A}_0 dv_X\right)\right\}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&-\frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\int_1^\infty\int_X \mathcal{R}_t(x)dv_X(x)\frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\int_X \widehat{A}_{-1}dv_X + \frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\Gamma'(1)\int_X \widehat{A}_0 dv_X\\
=&\frac{1}{2\pi}\operatorname{rk}(E)\widetilde{\zeta}'(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Since $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \in \operatorname{End}(T^{1,0}X)$ has positive eigenvalues, we can check that, for $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$,

$$\widetilde{\zeta}(z) = \left(\int_X \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\operatorname{Tr}(\dot{\mathcal{R}})^{-z}(x)dv_X(x)\right)\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}\int_0^\infty t^{z-1}\frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}}dt. \tag{5.25}$$

Let $\zeta(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^z}$ be the Riemann zeta function. It is well known that, for $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$,

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}\int_0^\infty t^{z-1}\frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-t}}dt. \tag{5.26}$$

Moreover,

$$\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad \zeta'(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi). \tag{5.27}$$

By (5.25), (5.26) and (5.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\zeta}'(0) =& -\zeta(0)\int_X \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\operatorname{Tr}\left[\log\dot{\mathcal{R}}\right]dv_X(x) + n\zeta'(0)\int_X \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)dv_X(x)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\int_X \det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\log\left(\det\left(\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\right)dv_X(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

By (5.20), (5.24), (5.28) and (5.4), we get (5.17). □

Let's come back to complex geometry case. Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and let G be a holomorphic vector bundle over M with a Hermitian metric h^G. Let $\Box_G$ be the Kodaira Laplacian with values in $T^{*0,\bullet}M \otimes G$ and let $e^{-t\Box_G}$ be the associated heat

operator. It is well-known that $e^{-t\Box_G}$ admits an asymptotic expansion as $t \to 0^+$. The analytic torsion associated to G is defined by $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\theta'_G(0))$, where

$$\theta_G(z) = -M\left[\mathrm{STr}[Ne^{-t\Box_G}P^{\perp}]\right].$$

Here N is the number operator on $T^{*0,\bullet}M$, STr denotes the super trace , $P^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $(\mathrm{Ker}\,\Box_G)^{\perp}$ and M denotes the Mellin transformation.

From Theorem 5.5, we deduce Bismut and Vasserot's asymptotic formula (see [3, Theorem 8] and [14, Theorem 5.5.8]):

Corollary 5.6. *With the notations above, let M be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$. Let (L, h^L) be a Hermitian line bundle over M such that the curvature R^L associated to h^L is positive, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}R^L$ is a positive $(1,1)$-form and let (F, h^F) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M. As $m \to +\infty$, we have*

$$\theta'_{L^m\otimes F}(0) = \frac{\mathrm{rk}\,F}{2}\int_M \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{R}^L}{2\pi}\right)\right)e^{m\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}R^L} + o(m^n),$$

where $\dot{R}^L$ is defined in [14, (1.5.15)].

Proof. We take X to be the circle bundle $\left\{v \in L^* \,:\, |v|^2_{h^{-1}} = 1\right\}$ over the compact complex manifold M where (L^*, h^{-1}) is the dual line bundle of Hermitian line bundle (L, h) over M. Let (z, λ) be the local coordinates on L^*, where λ is the fiber coordinates. The natural S^1-action on X is defined by $e^{i\theta} \circ (z, \lambda) = (z, e^{i\theta}\lambda)$. Then we can check that X is a compact CR manifold with a transversal CR S^1-action. Let $x = (z, \lambda) \in X$. On X we can check that $\mathcal{L}_x|_{T^{1,0}X} = \frac{1}{2}R^L_z$. Let E be the bundle over X such that $E_x = F_z \times \{\lambda\}$. It is well known that (see [13, p. 746], Theorem 1.2 in [5]), the spectrum of the Dolbeault Laplacian $\Box_{L^m\otimes F}$ associated to the bundle $L^{\otimes m}\otimes F$ on M is the same as the spectrum of the $\Box_{b,m}$ associated to the bundle E on X. From (5.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta'_{L^m\times F}(0) \;=\; \theta'_{b,m}(0) \;&=\; \frac{\mathrm{rk}\,E}{4\pi}\int_X \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{2\pi}\right)\right)e^{-m\frac{d\omega_0}{2\pi}}\wedge(-\omega_0) + o(m^n)\\ &=\; \frac{\mathrm{rk}\,F}{2}\int_M \log\left(\det\left(\frac{m\dot{R}^L}{2\pi}\right)\right)e^{m\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}R^L} + o(m^n)\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] M.-S. Baouendi and L.-P. Rothschild and F.-Treves, *CR structures with group action and extendability of CR functions*, Invent. Math., **83** (1985), 359–396.

[2] J.-M. Bismut, *Demailly's asymptotic Morse inequalities: a heat equation proof*, J. Funct. Anal., **72** (1987), 263-278.

[3] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot, *The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **125**, (1989), no. 2, 355-367.

[4] S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 19. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Somerville, MA: International Press, xii, 380 p., (2001).

[5] J.-H. Cheng, C.-Y. Hsiao and I.-H. Tsai, *Heat kernel asymptotics and a local index theorem for CR manifolds with S^1 action*, ArXiv:1511.00063.

[6] E. B. Dryden, C. S. Gordon, S. J Greenwald and D. L Webb, *Asymptotic Expansion of the Heat Kernel for Orbifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **56**, (2008), 205–238.

[7] J.-J. Duistermaat and G.-J. Heckman, *On the Variation in the Cohomology of the Sympleetic Form of the Reduced Phase Space*, Invent. Math., **69**(1982), 259-268.

[8] Alexander Grigoryan and Laurent Saloff-Coste, *Dirichlet heat kernel in the exterior of a compact set*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **55**(2002), 93–133.

[9] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Szegö kernel asymptotics for high power of CR line bundles and Kodaira embedding theorems on CR manifolds*, 116 pages, preprint available at arXiv:1401.6647, to appear in Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society.

[10] C.-Y. Hsiao and X. Li, *Szegö kernel asymptotics and Morse inequalities on CR manifolds with S^1 action*, arXiv:1502.02365.

[11] C.-Y. Hsiao and Xiaoshan Li, *Morse inequalities for Fourier components of Kohn-Rossi cohomology of CR manifolds with S^1-action*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, DOI: 10.1007/s00209-016-1661-6.

[12] T. Kawasaki, *The Riemann-Roch theorem for complex V-manifolds*, Osaka J. Math., **16(1)**(1979), 151-159.

[13] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *The first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the Bergman kernel of the spinc Dirac operator*, Int. J. Math. **17** (2006), no. 6, 737-759.

[14] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, **254**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2007).

[15] L. Ornea and M. Verbitsky, *Sasakian structures on CR-manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata, **125**(1), (2007), 159-173.

[16] P-E. Paradan and M. Vergne, *Index of transversally elliptic operators*, Astérisque No. 328 (2009), 297–338.

[17] M. Puchol, *The asymptotic of the holomorphic analytic torsion forms*, ArXiv:1511.04694v1.

[18] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian Manifolds,* Adv. in Math. **7** (1971), 145-210.

[19] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *Analytic torsion for complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **98**, (1973), no.2 ,154-177.

[20] C. Soulé, *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, volume 33 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1992). With the collaboration of D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer.

[21] K.-Y. Yoshikawa, *K3 surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space*, Invent. Math., **156**, (2004), no. 1, 53-117.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica and National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

E-mail address: chsiao@math.sinica.edu.tw or chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan

E-mail address: rthuang@math.ncu.edu.tw